

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,522	10/16/2003	Shinichiro Tomikawa	Q72552	4903
23373	7590 06/21/2005		EXAM	INER
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			ROSENBAUM, MARK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20037		3725	
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/686,522	TOMIKAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Mark Rosenbaum	3725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication  Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR A THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replyion.  In a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH a retailed.	y be timely filed  80) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
3) Since this application is in condition for a	<i>,</i> —					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and	thdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of the oath or declaration is objected to by		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority docu 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docu 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in App e priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nmary (PTO-413) Aail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-14, drawn to a grinding-classification system, classified in class
   241, subclass 79.
- II. Claims 15-20, drawn to a dry grinding-classification process, classified in class 241, subclass 24.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus could be used for grinding a slurry. Note that dry grinding includes material that are dry but the apparatus could also be used on wet materials such as slurries.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Landsmon on 6/15/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 3725

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 571-272-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Rosenbaum Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

MR